## IN THE UNINTED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DIDTRICT OF ARKANSAS FAYETTEVILLE DIVISION

**CURTIS J. NEELEY Jr., MFA** 

**PLAINTIFF** 

VS.

CASE NO. 5:09-CV-05151-JLH

NAMEMEDIA, INC.;

**NETWORK SOLUTIONS, INC.;** 

and GOOGLE, INC.

**DEFENDANTS** 

## MOTION FOR JOINDER OF CLAIMS

Plaintiff Curtis J. Neeley Jr. MFA ("Neeley"), for his Motion for Joinder of Claims, concisely states the following:

- 1. There have never been any genuine issues of material fact as to Plaintiffs' claims under the Anti-Cybersquatting Consumer Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. §1125(d) (the ACPA), and NameMedia is entitled to justice as a matter of law on these claims as well as the 17 U.S.C. § 106A claims the Plaintiff believed brought but never properly recognized for both Current defendants.
- 2. The 17 U.S.C. § 106A claims that Separate Defendant Google Inc began violating after this litigation began and that the Separate Un-Served Defendant the FCC "The Federal Communications Commission" currently fails to obey the "Communications Act of 1934" or even attempt to follow the included policies of the United States as alleged by Congress.
- 3. The brain injured and pro se Plaintiff has followed procedures as closely as could be done and believes firmly that no jury will disagree as is hoped will finally resolve in July 2011.

4. Keeping with the Local Rules and common decency, exhibits will be redacted although involving only "Strict Safe" prints of Google Inc searches for the Plaintiff's personal name or "filtration off" prints of Google Inc searches for the Plaintiff's personal name.

5. An extremely concise Supporting Brief is filed concurrently pursuant to Local Rules.

Respectfully Submitted,

Curtis J. Neeley Jr., MFA